

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/802,379	C	3/17/2004	Patrizio Vinciarelli	00614-136002	3813
26161	7590	08/10/2004		EXAMINER	
FISH & RIC		ON PC	STERRETT, JEFFREY L		
225 FRANKLIN ST BOSTON, MA 02110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ				2838	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	10/802,379	VINCIARELLI, PATRIZIO					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jeffrey L. Sterrett	2838					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period work. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa						

Application/Control Number: 10/802,379 Page 2

Art Unit: 2838

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 5, 7-10, 19, 23, 25-28, 36, 37, 40, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Steigerwald et al (US 5,274,539).

Steigerwald et al discloses a power distributing apparatus comprising a first regulator (30), a bus, and voltage transformation modules (20, see any of figures 4 or 6-9) including switch (Qa and Qb), transformers (T1 and T2), and rectifiers (SRa and SRb).

3. Claims 2, 17, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Steigerwald et al.

Steigerwald et al teaches a power distributing apparatus as recited by claims 2, 17, and 20 except for specifying that the voltage transformation module has a conversion efficiency greater than 80%. Voltage transformation modules having a conversion efficiency greater than 80% were well known and old in the art at the time of the invention. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have constructed the power distributing apparatus of Steigerwald et al to have any desired conversion efficiency known and old in the art, such as greater than 80%, since this is part and parcel of the normal process of making a specific power supply for a specific purpose.

4. Claims 3 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Steigerwald et al.

Steigerwald et al teaches a power distributing apparatus as recited by claims 3 and 21 except for specifying that the primary switches operate in a series of operating cycles characterized by power transfer and energy recycling intervals. Operating the primary switches of a power distributing apparatus in a series of operating cycles characterized by power transfer and energy recycling intervals was an old and known expedient in the art at the time of the invention that increases the conversion efficiency. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the power distributing apparatus of Steigerwald et al by operating the primary switches in a series of operating cycles characterized by power transfer and energy recycling intervals in order to increase the conversion efficiency.

5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Steigerwald et al.

Steigerwald et al teaches a power distributing apparatus as recited by claim 4 except for specifying that the voltage transformation module operates at or above 500 KHz. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the power distributing apparatus of Steigerwald et al by specifying that the voltage transformation module operates at or above 500 KHz since it has been held that where the general conditions of the claimed invetion are disclosed in the prior art discovering the optimum or workable ranges of result effective variables involves only routine skill in the art.

6. Claims 6, 11, 15, 16, 24, 29, 34, 35, 42, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Steigerwald et al.

Steigerwald et al teaches a power distributing apparatus as recited by claims 6, 11, 15, 16, 24, 29, 34, 35, 42, and 43 except for controlling the bus voltage using a load voltage feedback signal. Controlling the bus voltage provided by a preregulator using a load voltage feedback signal was an old and known expedient in the art at the time of the invention. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the power distributing apparatus of Steigerwald et al by controlling the bus voltage using a load voltage feedback signal in order to move the regulation of the output voltage back to a previous conversion stage.

7. Claims 12-14 and 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Steigerwald et al.

Steigerwald et al teaches a power distributing apparatus as recited by claims 12-14 and 30-32 except for utilizing either input or output switches to protect against voltage transformation module or bus faults. Utilizing input or output switches to protect against voltage faults were old and known expedient in the art at the time of the invention. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the power distributing apparatus of Steigerwald et al by utilizing input or output switches in order to protect against voltage transformation module or bus faults.

8. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Steigerwald et al in view of Kajouke et al (US 6,154,381).

Steigerwald et al teaches a power distributing apparatus as recited by claim 18 except for utilizing the power distributing apparatus in a vehicle. Kajouke et al teaches utilizing a power distributing apparatus in a vehicle was an old and known expedient in the art at the time of the invention. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have utilized the power distributing apparatus of Steigerwald et al by utilizing in a vehicle order as the necessary power distributing apparatus.

9. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Steigerwald et al.

Steigerwald et al teaches a power distributing apparatus as recited by claim 22 except for specifying that the primary switches operate in a series of operating cycles characterized by power transfer and energy recycling intervals, have a period less than 2 microseconds, and that the voltage transformation modules have a power density greater than 250 Watts/ci. Operating the primary switches of a power distributing apparatus in a series of operating cycles characterized by power transfer and energy recycling intervals was an old and known expedient in the art at the time of the invention that increases the conversion efficiency. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the power distributing apparatus of Steigerwald et al by operating the primary switches in a series of operating cycles characterized by power transfer and energy recycling intervals in order to increase the conversion efficiency and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the power distributing

apparatus of Steigerwald et al by utilizing a period less than 2 microseconds, and a power density greater than 250 Watts/ci since it has been held that where the general conditions of the claimed invetion are disclosed in the prior art discovering the optimum or workable ranges of result effective variables involves only routine skill in the art.

Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Steigerwald et al.

Steigerwald et al teaches a power distributing apparatus as recited by claim 33 except for specifying that the duty cycle of the voltage transformation module is greater than 90%. Voltage transformation modules having a duty cycle greater than 90% were well known and old in the art at the time of the invention. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have constructed the power distributing apparatus of Steigerwald et al to have any desired voltage transformation module duty cycle known and old in the art, such as greater than 90%, since this is part and parcel of the normal process of making a specific power supply for a specific purpose.

11. Claims 38 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Steigerwald et al.

Steigerwald et al teaches a voltage transformation module using an output current feedback signal as recited by claims 38 and 39 except for controlling the voltage transformation module using an output current feedback signal. Controlling the voltage transformation module using an output current feedback signal was an old and known expedient in the art at the time of the invention. It would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the power distributing apparatus of Steigerwald et al by controlling the voltage transformation module using an output current feedback signal in order to provide regulation of the output in a desired manner old and known in the art.

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Rogowsky (US 4,459,492), Dreifuerst et al (US 5,434,770), Yashiro (US 5,530,635), Ikeshita (US 5,631,813), Takahashi et al (US 5,768,117), Kociecki (US 6,198,642), and Dinh et al (US 6,650,556) are cited to show voltage transformation module old and known in the art at the time of the invention.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey L. Sterrett whose telephone number is (571) 272-2085. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday & 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on (571) 272-2084. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/802,379 Page 8

Art Unit: 2838

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey L. Sterrett Primary Examiner Art Unit 2838